CRITERION - I
CURRICULAR ASPECTS

1.4 - Feedback System

- Feedback process of the Institution may be classified as follows

    A. Feedback collected, analyzed and action taken and feedback available on website

    B. Feedback collected, analyzed and action has been taken

    C. Feedback collected and analyzed

    D. Feedback collected

    E. Feedback not collected

FEEDBCK ANALYSIS REPORT

[2020-21]

Feedback Analysis Report

[Academic Year: 2020-21]

The feedback on curriculum was collected through online mode from all the stakeholders in the academic year 2020-21. Feedback forms are available on the college website and can be filled online using given web links.

- **Feedback Type** : Online
- **Website address** : https://www.lvhcollege.com
- **Direct Link URL** : https://lvhcollege.com/feedback.html
- **Links for Stakeholders :**

Form	Name of the Stakeholder	Link for Google Form
A	Feedback on Curriculum by Student	https://forms.gle/Yu4bwSDgGJsQ2BEp6
B	Feedback on Curriculum by Alumni	https://forms.gle/3ig6FtK2H3KjjGSP7
C	Feedback on Curriculum by Teacher	https://forms.gle/i4hGZq9QApSH7Q4Z9
D	Feedback on Curriculum by Employer	https://forms.gle/JJ5kBxwAPwF5PMkDA

Feedback Analysis:

Sr. No.	Feedback Category	Total numbers of response recorded	Average Opinions of Answer in Percentage
1	Students' Feedback	1715	82.88%
2	Alumni Feedback	113	90.93%
3	Teachers' Feedback	33	93.0 %
4	Employer Feedback	08	96.5%

Scale of Opinion of Stakeholders (Students, Alumni, Teachers and Employers)			
A	B	C	D
100-80	79-60	59-40	Less than 40

Average Rating of All Stakeholders Feedback Response found to be 90.83%

Scale of Opinion of All Stakeholders found to be at Rank A (100 - 80).

Analysis Report on Students' Feedback

[Academic Year: 2020-21]

Feedback was collected from the students about the various aspects such as, curriculum, facilities available, quality of teaching by feedback committee under the guidance of IQAC. Total **1715** students responded through online process. Following are the findings of the feedback collected and analysis of the same.

Que. No.	Suggestions by Stakeholders	Opinion of Students in Percentage				Scale of Opinion	% of Opinion
		A	B	C	D		
01	Diversity & extent of courses covered	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Very Good & Good	90.5
		35.4%	55.1%	8.2%	1.3%		
02	Depth of the course content including project work, if any	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Very Good & Good	86.4
		29.8	56.6	11.8	1.8		
03	Employment orientation in the curriculum	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Very Good & Good	79.1
		27.6	51.5	17.3	3.5		
04	Topics for competitive examinations included in the syllabus	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Very Good & Good	82.4
		32.7	49.7	14.6	3.0		
05	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Very Good & Good	79.8
		28.0	51.8	16.5	3.7		
06	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Very Good & Good	81.8
		28.4	53.4	14.3	3.9		
07	Extent of participatory / experiential learning and student involvement	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Very Good & Good	83.2
		29.6	53.6	13.7	3.1		
08	Scope for the use of ICT	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Very Good & Good	79
		28.4	50.6	17.1	4.0		
09	Research orientation obtained during the programme	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Very Good & Good	82.4
		29.1	53.3	14.8	2.8		
10	Overall evaluation process	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Very Good &	84.2

		30.3	53.9	12.5	3.2	Good	
11	Suggestions for improvement	**Recorded**					
						Average Rating = 82.88%	

Graphical Representation of Students' Feedback: 2020-21

1. Diversity & extent of courses covered

1,696 responses

- Very Good
- Good
- Average
- Poor

55.1%
8.2%
35.4%

2. Depth of the course content including project work, if any

1,694 responses

- Very Good
- Good
- Average
- Poor

56.6%
11.8%
29.8%

3. Employment orientation in the curriculum

1,692 responses

- Very Good
- Good
- Average
- Poor

17.3%
51.5%
27.6%

4. Topics for competitive examinations included in the syllabus

1,695 responses

- Very Good
- Good
- Average
- Poor

49.7%
14.6%
32.7%

5. Applicability/relevance to real life situations

1,692 responses

- Very Good
- Good
- Average
- Poor

16.5%
51.8%
28%

6. Clarity and relevance of textual reading material

1,694 responses

- Very Good
- Good
- Average
- Poor

53.4%
14.3%
28.4%

7. Extent of participatory / experiential learning and student involvement

1,691 responses

- Very Good
- Good
- Average
- Poor

53.6%
13.7%
29.6%

8. Scope for the use of ICT

1,689 responses

- Very Good
- Good
- Average
- Poor

50.6%
17.1%
28.4%

9. Research orientation obtained during the programme

1,688 responses

- Very Good
- Good
- Average
- Poor

53.3%
14.8%
29.1%

10. Overall evaluation process

1,694 responses

- Very Good
- Good
- Average
- Poor

53.9%
12.5%
30.3%

o **Average 82.88% students responded positively on Curriculum**

o **Scale of opinion of Students' found to be at Rank A (100-80).**

Analysis Report on Alumni Feedback

[Academic Year: 2020-21]

Feedback was collected from the alumni about the various aspects such as, curriculum, facilities available, quality of teaching by feedback committee under the guidance of IQAC. Total **113** alumni responded through online process. Following are the findings of the feedback collected and analysis of the same.

Que. No.	Parameters	Opinion of Alumni in Percentage				Scale of Opinion	% of Opinion
		A	B	C	D		
01	Diversity & extent of courses covered	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Very Good & Good	96.4
		52.3	44.1	3.6	00		
02	Depth of the course content including project work, if any	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Very Good & Good	92.9
		55.4	37.5	5.4	1.8		
03	Employment orientation in the curriculum	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Very Good & Good	87.5
		44.6	42.9	8.9	3.6		
04	Topics for competitive examinations included in the syllabus	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Very Good & Good	85.7
		39.3	46.4	10.7	3.6		
05	Applicability/relevance to real life situations	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Very Good & Good	91.8
		45.0	46.8	7.2	0.9		
06	Clarity and relevance of textual reading material	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Very Good & Good	94.7
		56.3	38.4	3.6	1.8		
07	Extent of participatory / experiential learning and student involvement	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Very Good & Good	90.0
		47.7	42.3	8.1	1.8		
08	Scope for the use of ICT	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Very Good & Good	86.6
		33.9	52.7	10.7	2.7		
09	Research orientation obtained during the programme	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Very Good & Good	90.2
		42.9	47.3	7.1	2.7		
10	Overall evaluation process	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Very Good &	93.5

		59.6	33.9	3.7	2.8	Good	
11	Suggestions if any	**Recorded**					
						Average Rating =90.93 %	

Graphical Representation of Analysis Report: 2020-21

1. Diversity & extent of courses covered
111 responses

- Very Good — 52.3%
- Good — 44.1%
- Average
- Poor

2. Depth of the course content including project work, if any
112 responses

- Very Good — 55.4%
- Good — 37.5%
- Average
- Poor

3. Employment orientation in the curriculum
112 responses

- Very Good — 44.6%
- Good — 42.9%
- Average — 8.9%
- Poor

4. Topics for competitive examinations included in the syllabus
112 responses

- Very Good — 39.3%
- Good — 46.4%
- Average — 10.7%
- Poor

5. Applicability/relevance to real life situations
111 responses

- Very Good — 45%
- Good — 46.8%
- Average
- Poor

6. Clarity and relevance of textual reading material
112 responses

- Very Good — 56.3%
- Good — 38.4%
- Average
- Poor

7. Extent of participatory / experiential learning and student involvement

111 responses

- Very Good
- Good
- Average
- Poor

42.3%
8.1%
47.7%

8. Scope for the use of ICT

112 responses

- Very Good
- Good
- Average
- Poor

52.7%
10.7%
33.9%

9. Research orientation obtained during the programme

112 responses

- Very Good
- Good
- Average
- Poor

47.3%
42.9%

10. Overall evaluation process

109 responses

- Very Good
- Good
- Average
- Poor

33.9%
59.6%

- **Average Rating of Alumni Feedback Response found to be at 90.93%**

- **Scale of opinion of Alumni found to be at Rank A (100-80).**

Analysis Report on Teacher's Feedback

[Academic Year: 2020-21]

Feedback was collected from the teachers about the various aspects such as, curriculum, facilities available, quality of teaching by feedback committee under the guidance of IQAC. Total **33** teachers responded through online process. Following are the findings of the feedback collected and analysis of the same.

Qus. No.	Nature of Question	Opinions of Answer in Percentage				Scale of Opinion	% of Opinion
		A	B	C	D		
01	Programme offered in terms of diversity of courses covered	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Very Good & Good	100
		48.5	51.5	00	00		
02	Programme offered in terms of the load of courses in different terms/semesters	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Very Good & Good	97
		30.3	66.7	3.0	00		
03	Availability of the text and reference books in the library/market	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Very Good & Good	90.7
		56.3	34.4	6.3	3.1		
04	Quality and relevance of the courses included in the curriculum	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Very Good & Good	93.9
		30.3	63.6	6.1	00		
05	Academic ambience of College for an effective delivery of courses	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Very Good & Good	87.8
		54.5	33.3	6.1	6.1		
06	Courses in terms of inclusion of latest knowledge / technology	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Very Good & Good	90.9
		42.4	48.5	9.1	00		
07	Outcomes that your students achieve from the courses	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Very Good & Good	94
		36.4	57.6	6.1	00		
08	Scope for use of ICT for the delivery of courses	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Very Good & Good	90.9
		36.4	54.5	3.0	6.1		
09	Overall transformation of students after the completion of course	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Very Good & Good	90.9
		33.3	57.6	3.0	6.1		
10	Overall evaluation process	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Very Good	93.9
		33.3	60.6	6.1	00		

	of the programme					& Good	
11	Suggestions if any	**Recorded**					
						Average Rating = 93.0%	

Graphical Representation of Analysis Report: 2020-21

1. Programme offered in terms of diversity of courses covered
33 responses

- Very Good
- Good
- Average
- Poor

51.5%
48.5%

2. Programme offered in terms of the load of courses in different terms/semesters
33 responses

- Very Good
- Good
- Average
- Poor

66.7%
30.3%

3. Availability of the text and reference books in the library/market
32 responses

- Very Good
- Good
- Average
- Poor

34.4%
56.3%

4. Quality and relevance of the courses included in the curriculum
33 responses

- Very Good
- Good
- Average
- Poor

63.6%
30.3%

5. Academic ambience of College for an effective delivery of courses
33 responses

- Very Good
- Good
- Average
- Poor

33.3%
54.5%

6. Courses in terms of inclusion of latest knowledge / technology
33 responses

- Very Good
- Good
- Average
- Poor

48.5%
9.1%
42.4%

7. Outcomes that your students achieve from the courses

33 responses

- Very Good
- Good
- Average
- Poor

57.6%
36.4%

8. Scope for use of ICT for the delivery of courses

33 responses

- Very Good
- Good
- Average
- Poor

54.5%
36.4%

9. Overall transformation of students after the completion of course

33 responses

- Very Good
- Good
- Average
- Poor

57.6%
33.3%

10. Overall evaluation process of the programme

33 responses

- Very Good
- Good
- Average
- Poor

60.6%
33.3%

- **Average Rating of Teacher Feedback Response found to be at 93.0%.**

- **Scale of opinion of Teachers found to be at Rank A (100-80).**

Analysis Report on Employer's Feedback

[Academic Year: 2020-21]

Feedback was taken by feedback committee and the IQAC from the employers about the various aspects like curriculum, facilities etc. We have received feedback from **08** employers through online process. Following are the findings of the feedback collected and analysis of the same.

Qus. No.	Nature of Question	Opinions of Answer in Percentage				Scale of Opinion	% of Opinion
		A	B	C	D		
01	Subject knowledge	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Very Good & Good	100
		75.0	25.0	00	00		
02	Competency level	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Very Good & Good	100
		37.5	62.5	00	00		
03	Adequacy of skills	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Very Good & Good	87.5
		37.5	50.0	12.5	00		
04	Job fit	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Very Good & Good	87.5
		12.5	75.0	12.5	00		
05	Target orientation	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Very Good & Good	100
		37.5	62.5	00	00		
06	Quest for new learning	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Very Good & Good	100
		37.5	62.5	00	00		
07	Ability to relate theory to practice	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Very Good & Good	87.5
		37.5	50.0	12.5	00		
08	Group dynamics	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Very Good & Good	100
		25.0	75.0	00	00		
09	Communication and attitude	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Very Good & Good	100
		25.0	75.0	00	00		
10	Use of ICT	Very Good	Good	Average	Poor	Very Good & Good	100
		50.0	50.0	00	00		

11	Suggestions if any	Recorded	
			Average Rating = 96.25 %

Graphical Representation of Analysis Report: 2020-21

1. Subject knowledge

8 responses

- Very Good
- Good
- Average
- Scope for Improvement

25%

75%

2. Competency level

8 responses

- Very Good
- Good
- Average
- Scope for Improvement

62.5%

37.5%

3. Adequacy of skills

8 responses

- Very Good
- Good
- Average
- Scope for Improvement

50%

12.5%

37.5%

4. Job fit

8 responses

- Very Good
- Good
- Average
- Scope for Improvement

12.5%

75%

12.5%

5. Target orientation

8 responses

- Very Good
- Good
- Average
- Scope for Improvement

62.5%

37.5%

6. Quest for new learning

8 responses

- Very Good
- Good
- Average
- Scope for Improvement

62.5%

37.5%

7. Ability to relate theory to practice

8 responses

- Very Good
- Good
- Average
- Scope for Improvement

50%
12.5%
37.5%

8. Group dynamics

8 responses

- Very Good
- Good
- Average
- Scope for Improvement

75%
25%

9. Communication and attitude

8 responses

- Very Good
- Good
- Average
- Scope for Improvement

75%
25%

10. Use of ICT

8 responses

- Very Good
- Good
- Average
- Scope for Improvement

50%
50%

Average Rating of Employers Feedback Response found to be at 96.25%

Scale of opinion of Employers found to be at Rank A (100-80).

Feedback Committee- 2020-21:

Sr. No.	Committee Members	Designation
1.	Dr. S. J. Patil	Chairman
2.	Dr. N. N. Gadhe	Member
3.	Dr. K. T. Khairnar	Member

Principal
Principal
Loknete Vyankatrao Hiray
Arts, Science & Commerce College
Panchavati, Nashik- 3
Internal Quality Assurance Cell (IQAC)
Loknete Vyankatrao Hiray